Mr. Bress: It shows his confidence in her—
The Court: I sustain the objection.

By Mr. Bress:

Q. Have you been in the car with Jim and Patricia on occasions? A. Yes, sir.
Q. On frequent or infrequent occasions? A. Frequent.
Q. Will you state on those occasions that you have ridden with them whether—who was the driver?
Mr. Horning: I object.
The Court: I sustain the objection. Don't answer anything until I have had a chance to rule.
Mr. Bress: Will you state whether or not at any time in your presence Jim ever criticized Patricia about her driving?
Mr. Horning: I object.
The Court: Sustained.

By Mr. Bress:

Q. Will you state whether or not Jim liked or did not like to drive?
Mr. Horning: I object.
The Court: Sustained.

By Mr. Bress:

Q. Will you state whether or not you were in any kind of a car pool with Jim?
Mr. Horning: I object.
The Court: Sustained.

By Mr. Bress:

Q. Will you state whether or not in the car pool if Jim's car was being used, whether Jim drove or somebody else drove?
Mr. Horning: I object.
The Court: Sustained.
Mr. Bress: The purpose—
The Court: Are you relying—

Mr. Bress: Almost. But I think that I have a purpose for the questions—

The Court: I know you do.

Mr. Bress: I will be glad to—I think the relevancy may appear more clear at the later argument or I can state it to your Honor now unless you are satisfied that you don't want this evidence admitted at this time.

The Court: I am perfectly satisfied.

Mr. Bress: Very well.

The Court: I think it is clearly inadmissible.

Mr. Bress: The only purpose for the questions was to show that Jim was one of those men—there are some—who just don't like to drive. And whenever Jim and his wife went out anywhere, this is a family in which the wife was the chauffeur.

The Court: What has that got to do with it?

Mr. Horning: I object to this.

Mr. Bress: It has nothing to do with my prima facie case, but it may have something to do if Mr. Horning should argue about the question of control.

The Court: Don't anticipate Mr. Horning.